

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 2023; www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,386	12/22/1999	ROGER P. GENSKE	24180-667000	6075
75	08/06/2002			
JOY ANN G SERAUSKAS			EXAMINER	
227 W MONRO			JACKSON, MONIQUE R	
CHICAGO, IL 60606-5096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		AS-13			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/470,386	GENSKE ET AL.			
	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Monique R Jackson	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appl (1) a timely filed amendment wl	lication. A proper reply to a nich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac	•	the final rejection which were in letter to a			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dhave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of t ed statutory period for reply originally set i	ne fee. The appropriate extension fee under n the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 16 July 2002. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	aterially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancer	eling a corresponding number o	f finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See attached</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See attached</u> .					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: None.					
Claim(s) objected to: None					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-32.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 33-34.					
8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/470,386

Art Unit: 1773

ADVISORY ACTION

Continuation of Item No.2. NOTE: The proposed amendments filed 7/16/02 will not be entered because they raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration, they raise the issue of new matter, and they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal. Proposed amended claim 1 recites the limitations "second layer comprising a blend of low density polyethylene and a polyethylene plastomer wherein said blend has a density range from about 0.89 g/cc to 0.93 g/cc" and "wherein said first layer is laminated to a film wherein said film comprises a polymeric material selected from", however it is noted that with regards to a blend utilizing a plastomer, the original disclosure at the time of filing only described a blend of LDPE with a plastomer in terms of the third layer wherein the plastomer had a density of 0.911 g/cc and the LDPE had a density of 0.921 g/cc as in the description at page 7, lines 2-4, or similarly in terms of the second layer as in original claims 13-14. The original disclosure does not describe a blend of LDPE and a polyethylene plastomer wherein the blend has a density range from about 0.89 g/cc to 0.93 g/cc. Further, the previously claimed invention did not require the first layer to be laminated to the film of polymeric material as now recited. Additionally, it is noted that Claim 23 still recites the limitation "wherein said second layer has a density range from about 0.89 g/cc to about 0.93 g/cc" which as previously discussed in the prior office action does not appear to be supported by the original disclosure. It is also noted that the proposed amendment presents a combination of film characteristics that was not previously presented in the claims, namely a multilayer film with a second layer comprising a blend of LDPE and polyethylene plastomer wherein the blend

Application/Control Number: 09/470,386

Art Unit: 1773

has a density of about 0.89 to 0.93 g/cc and a first layer that is laminated to a polymeric material

Page 3

film.

Continuation of Item No.5. NOTE: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered however

they are moot given that they are based on the proposed amendments that have not been entered

for the above reasons.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Monique R Jackson whose telephone number is 703-308-0428.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Thursdays, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul J Thibodeau can be reached on 703-308-2367. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular

communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

mri

August 2, 2002

STEVAN A. RESAN

PRIMARY EXAMINER